

From: [Ron Gouquet](#)
To: [Robert Gensemer](#)
Cc: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: Evidence weighting for Calcasieu
Date: 04/12/2006 04:21 PM

Yes. We specified the & agreed upon the WOE. The looked at the spatial patterns of risk depicted, considered the weight broke the large site into 4 (+) subunits for further work. Bayou d'Inde, Bayou Verdine, Coon Island Slip & Citgo//Indian Marais Slough. The 'pies' I showed in centralia, each 1/4 was the Hi, indeterminant & Low risk for that line of evidence. Then EPA & LDEQ declared the RI/FS Ecological Risk Assessment complete. The probabilistic info may be used much as is depicted in slide 16 (attached). Does that help?

Let me know OK?
Ron

Robert Gensemer wrote:
> Hi Ron. I was digging around a bit in the Calcasieu ERA to see if it
> might help us provide an example for the weighting scheme/matrix thing
> we will likely be working on soon. I noticed that Don MacDonald used a
> quantitative WOE approach, then calculated an "integrated risk score"
> for each receptor based on a simple arithmetic mean of the weighting
> scores.
>
> What is your recollection of this approach? Was the group consensus
> that it worked well or not? Did it help articulate the ERA decisions
> into the RI phase? Just looking around to consider options. Thanks.
> -Bob
>
> *****
> Robert W. Gensemer, Ph.D.
> Parametrix, Inc.
> 33972 Texas Street SW
> Albany, OR 97321
> T 541-791-1667, x-6510
> F 541-791-1669
> C 541-760-1511
> rgensemer@parametrix.com <mailto:rgensemer@parametrix.com>
> *****